

,			THE HONORAE	LE WILLIAM L. DWYER	
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7		•			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE				
9	CMB INDU	STRIES, INC., a Michigan corporation,			
10		Plaintiff,	NO. C96-1978	WD	
11	-	v.	JURY DEMAN	D	
12	WATTS IN	DUSTRIES, INC., a Delaware	THIRD AMEN	DED COMPLAINT FOR	
13	corporation, and PACIFIC WATER WORKS SUPPLY CO., INC., a Washington corporation,				
14		Defendants.))		
15					
16	Plaintiff CMB Industries. Inc. ("CMB") hereby complains and alleges as follows:				
17	I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE				
18	1.	This is an action for patent infringement	ansing under the par	sur iams of the outred	
19	States, specifically 35 U.S.C. § 271 and 281.				
20	2.	This Court has subject matter jurisdiction			
21	3.	Venue is proper in this judicial district t		400(b).	
22	II. JURY DEMAND				
23	4.	Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all is	sues triable to a jury.		
24					
25					
		•		BOGLE & GATES P.L.L.C. A Professional Limited Liability Comp. Two Union Square 601 Union Screet Scartle, Washington 98101-2346	
	THIRD AME	NDEDCOMPLAINT		(206) 682-5151	

3

4

2

5

7

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

22

21

2324

25

III. THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff CMB Industries is a Michigan corporation with its principal place of business in Fresno. California.
- 6. On information and belief defendant Watts Industries, Inc. ("Watts") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in North Andover, Massachusetts. Watts does business in this judicial district and has committed the acts complained of in this judicial district.
- 7. Defendant Pacific Water Works Supply Co., Inc., ("Pacific") is a Washington corporation with its principal place of business in Seattle, Washington. Pacific has committed the acts complained of in this judicial district.
- 8. CMB is the owner by assignment of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,503,176, 5,385,166, and 5,226,441 issued on April 2, 1996, January 31, 1995 and July 13, 1993 respectively. These patents describe and claim the invention of Charles W. Dunmire, et al. entitled Backflow Preventer with Adjustable Outflow Direction. CMB is also the owner by assignment of U.S. Patent No. 4,991,622 issued February 12, 1991. This patent describes and claims the invention of John L. Brewer, et al. entitled Multiply Configurable Backflow Preventer. True and correct copies of the '166, '176, '441 and '622 patents are attached hereto. CMB has developed, manufactures and sells backflow prevention devices which are covered by one or more of the claims of the above patents.

IV. PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- 9. Plaintiff repeats the allegations of paragraph 1 through 8.
- 10. Defendant Watts has been and continues to infringe the '176, '166, '441 and '622 patents ("the patents at issue") by making, using, selling and offering for sale without authorization backflow prevention devices which embody one or more claims of those patents. CMB is further informed and believes that Watts will continue to make and sell such infringing devices unless restrained by this Court.

Two Union Square 601 Union Street Scenie, Washington 98101-2346 (206) 682-5151

BOGLE & GATES P.L.L.C.
A Professional Limited Liability Com:

THIRD AMENDEDCOMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT - 2

- 11. Defendant Pacific has infringed the patents at issue by offering for sale a Watts backflow prevention device or devices without authorization which incorporate all of the elements of one or more of the claims of the patents at issue.
- Watts and Pacific have derived and will continue to derive and receive from the above 12. alleged acts of infringement, profits and revenues in an amount which is not presently known to CMB. Further, such acts of infringement were made with knowledge of at least the '622 and '441 patents and were thus committed intentionally and willfully. By reason of the above acts of infringement, CMB has been and will continue to be damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

V. DEMAND FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE CMB prays for relief as follows:

- That defendants be adjudged to have infringed the '176, '166, '441 and '622 patents; · **A**.
- That defendants and their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys and all other B. persons acting in concert, participation or privity with them who receive actual notice of the order by a personal service or otherwise and Watts' successors and assigns be permanently restrained and enjoined from directly or indirectly infringing the '176, '166, '441 and '622 patents.
- For an accounting and an award of damages by reason of defendants' infringement of the C. '176, '166, '441 and '622 patents.
- For an award of prejudgment and postjudgment interest, exemplary damages and costs D. against defendants in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284; and
 - That CMB be awarded such other further relief as this Court may deem just. E.

DATED this 2012 day of February

BOOLE & GATES P.L.L.C.

Mert E. Bonde, WSBA #12809 Park S. Carlson, WSBA #17493

Attorneys for CMB Industries

THIRD AMENDEDCOMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT - 3 BOGLE & GATES P.L.L.C. A Professional Limited Liability Compa Two Union Square 601 Union Street Scarie, Washington 98101-2346 (206) 682-5151

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE - I

1 2	THE HONORABLE WILLIAM L. DWYER					
3						
4	•					
5						
6						
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE					
9	CMB INDUSTRIES, INC., a Michigan corporation.					
10	Plaintiff, NO. C96-1978 WLD					
11	v. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE _					
12	WATTS INDUSTRIES, INC., a Delaware					
13	corporation, and PACIFIC WATER WORKS SUPPLY COMPANY, INC., a Washington					
14	corporation, Defendants.	}				
15						
16						
17	li di					
18	On February 20, 1998 I caused to be served a true and correct copy of Third Amended					
19	Complaint for Patent Infringement on the following:					
20	Alan S. Middleton					
21	Davis Wright Tremaine LLP					
22	2600 Century Square 1501 Fourth Avenue					
23	Seattle, WA 98101-1688 Attorneys for Watts Industries, Inc.					
24	and Pacific Water Works Supply Co., Inc.					
25	via facsimile and first class mail					
	BOGLE & GATES P.L.L.C. A Professional Limited Liability Comp Two Union Square 601 Union Street Seattle, Washington 98101-2346 (206) 682-5151	any				

John A. Harre
Heidi E. Harvey
Fish & Richardson P.C.
225 Franklin Street
Boston, MA 02110-2804
Attorneys for Watts Industries, Inc.
and Pacific Water Works Supply Co., Inc.
via facsimile and first class mail

DATED this 20th day of February 1998.

Moneca Olson

BOCLE & GATES P.L.L.C.
A Professional Limited Liability Company
Two Union Square
601 Union Street
Seattle, Washington 98101-2346
(206) 682-5151

BOCLE & ANTENDELO.

THE HONORABLE WILLIAM L. DWYER

1993 MAR 1 1 P 12: 02

· ofisching constraint

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

CMB INDUSTRIES, INC., a Michigan corporation,

Plaintiff,

PACIFIC WATER WORKS SUPPLY

Defendants.

CO., INC., a Washington

corporation,

No. C96-1978 WD

ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS' WATTS

TO THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT

WATER WORKS SUPPLY CO.

FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

INDUSTRIES, INC. AND PACIFIC

13

WATTS INDUSTRIES, INC.
14 a Delaware corporation, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25. 26

27

28

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT 1

ANSWER

Defendants, Watts Industries, Inc. ("Watts") and Pacific
Water Works Supply Co., Inc. ("Pacific"), hereby answer the
correspondingly numbered paragraphs of the Third Amended Complaint
for Patent Infringement of Plaintiff, CMB Industries, Inc.

("CMB"), as follows:

Admitted.

2. Admitted.

RH 00001

3. Watts denies that it actually resides within this district, but admits that it may be deemed to reside within this

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

15 ll

17

18

20

21

22

23

25

26 |

27

- No response required.
- Defendants are without information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations of Paragraph 5 of CMB's Third Admitted Complaint and therefore deny the same.
- 6. Defendants admits that Watts is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in North Andover, Massachusetts and that it has done business in the state of Washington. Otherwise, the remaining allegations of Paragraph 6 are denied.
- 7. Defendants admit that Pacific is a Washington corporation with its principal place of business in Puyallup, Pierce County, Washington. Otherwise, the remaining allegations of Paragraph 7 are denied.
- 8. Defendants admit that U.S. Patent Nos. 5,503,176 ("the '176 patent"), 5,385,166 ("the '166 patent"), 5,226,441 ("the '441 patent"), and 4,991,622 ("the 622 patent"), issued on April 2. 1996, January 31, 1995, July 13, 1993, and February 12, 1991, respectively. Defendants also admit that copies of the '176, 166, '441 and '662' patents were attached to the copy of the Complaint. Defendants are without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations that CMB has developed, manufactures and sells backflow prevention devices which are covered by one or more claims of the above patents and therefore denies them.

RH 00002

- Watts incorporates by reference the above response to Paragraphs 1-8.
 - 10. Denied.
 - 11. Denied.
- 12. Denied.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- Defendants do not infringe and have not infringed, 1. either directly or by inducing others to infringe, any valid claim of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,503,176, 5,385,166, 5,226,441, and 4,991,622.
- U.S. Patent Nos. 5,503,176, 5,385,166, and 5,226,441 are 2. invalid for failure to comply with the requirements of the patent laws of United States, Title 35 U.S.C.
- CMB's claim for relief is barred, in whole or in part, 3. by the equitable doctrine of estoppel.
- U.S. Patent Nos. 5,503,176, 5,385,166, and 5,226,441 are unenforceable due to inequitable conduct committed by plaintiff CMB and/or its agents, representatives or attorneys who participated in procuring said patents from the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") in violation of the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 U.S.C., and regulations thereunder. More particularly, the above-identified patents are unenforceable due to inequitable conduct at least due to the 26 conduct of CMB and/or its agents, representatives or attorneys who participated in procuring said patents, including at least Charles

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT 3

W. Dunmire, Dennis G. Whitelaw, Richard D. Fields, Richard L. 2 Hughes, John L. Brewer, Thomas F. Coyne and Kevin W. Coyne, in failing to advise the PTO of the existence of material prior art, namely U.S. Patent No. 4,991,655 ("the McHugh patent"), of which, on information and belief, at least Charles W. Dunmire, a listed inventor on each of the above-identified patents, was aware prior to the filing or during the prosecution of the above-identified patents. This information would have been considered important by a reasonable patent examiner in assessing the patentability of the subject matter of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,503,176, 5,385,166, and 5,226,441 as it discloses backflow preventers having non-linear inlet and outlet flow directions wherein the portions of the backflow preventer are connected by bolted flanges allowing the repositioning of the outlet flow direction with respect to the inlet flow direction to any of a "plurality", i.e., a finite number of discrete positions, as determined by the number and arrangement of the bolts. The McHugh patent also teaches that the bolted flanges can be replaced with conventional clamp and grooved piping couplings which allow the repositioning of the outlet flow direction with respect to the inlet flow direction to any of an "infinite" number of positions This information was withheld in violation of the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 and, upon

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Watts prays that this Court enter judgment:

information and belief, with an intent to deceive the PTO.

That plaintiff's complaint be dismissed with prejudice.

27

1

3

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT 4

В.

void and unenforceable.

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT 5

infringed by any actions of Defendants. That the '176, '166, and '441 patents be found invalid. C.

That the '176, '166, '441, and '622 patents be found not

That plaintiff, its agents, and employees, and any other acting in concert with it, be enjoined from asserting or threatening to assert any alleged right arising from the '176, '166, '441, or '622 patents against Watts, its agents, customers, suppliers, vendees, or others acting on its behalf.

That this is an exceptional case and that plaintiff be E. ordered to pay the costs incurred by Defendants in responding to this action and Defendants' reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285; and

That Defendants be granted such other and further relief F. as this Court deems just and warranted under the circumstances.

RH 00005

Respectfully submitted WATTS INDUSTRIES, INC.

By its attorneys:

By: Middleton

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

ASM

Alan S. Middleton

WSBA #18118 2600 Century Square 1501 Fourth Avenue

Seattle, Washington 98101-1688

Tel: (206) 622-3150 Fax: (206) 628-7699

Fish & Richardson P.C. 225 Franklin Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02110-2804

Tel: (617) 542-5070 Fax: (617) 542-8906

RH 00006

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110-2804 (617) 542-5070

26

27

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY HAND DELIVERY

I am employed in the County of King, State of Washington. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is Davis Wright Tremaine LLP, 2600 Century Square, 1501 Fourth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101-1688. I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

On March 11, 1998, I caused to be served by hand delivery the document entitled ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS' WATTS INDUSTRIES, INC. AND PACIFIC WATER WORKS SUPPLY CO. TO THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT on the interested party(ies) to this action by placing true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as follows and giving said envelopes to a messenger for delivery the same day:

Robert E. Rohde
Bogle & Gates P.L.L.C.
601 Union Street
Suite 4700 Two Union Square
Seattle, WA 98101-2346

Executed on March 11, 1998 at Seattle, Washington.

Charisse Goodman

RH 00007

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE- 1
F:\DOCS\46186\i\CERT.SERVICE.HAND.PLD.DOC
Seattle

Davis Wright Tremaine LLP LAW OFFICES 2400 Cessury Square - 1901 Fourth Avent Sentle, Washington 98101-1648 (206) 622-3150 - Fax. (206) 628-7699

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE - 1

Two Union Square 601 Union Street

(206) 682-5151

Seattle, Washington 98101-2346

1	
2	Alan S. Middleton
3	Davis Wright Tremaine LLP 2600 Century Square
4	1501 Fourth Avenue
5	Seattle, WA 98101-1688 Attorneys for Watts Industries, Inc.
6	Richard J. Padden
7	David W. Bever
8	Carney Badley Smith & Spellman 2200 Columbia Center
9	701 Fifth Avenue Seattle, WA 98104-7091
10	Attorneys for Pacific Water Works Supply Co., Inc.
11	
12	DATED this 15+h day of January 1998.
13	Moneca. ()
14	Moneca Olson
15	·
16	
i7	
18	
19	
20	,
21	
22	
23	
24	